

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY POCKETNIO	
			THE THURSD HAVENTON	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,453	12/05/2001		David S. Soane	ZMSI001PPP2	9742
20350	7590	08/11/2003		•	5
TOWNSEN	ND AND	TOWNSEND AT			
		RO CENTER	EXAMINER		
EIGHTH FL			MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	CA 94111-3834			
·				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1711	
			•	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		10/004,453	SOANE ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C  - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date  - If the period for reply specified above is less  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the  - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe  - Any reply received by the Office later than th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF  Status	OMMUNICATION.  he provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  of this communication.  than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w  riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	old(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on 02 M	fav 2003	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>		s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) _			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are allowed			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18</u> is/are reject	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ted to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers	to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on			the Examiner
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abev	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11) The proposed drawing correct	ction filed oni	s: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ c	lisapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawin	gs are required in reply	to this Office action.	, =
12)☐ The oath or declaration is ob	ected to by the Exar	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ N	one of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the</li> </ol>	priority documents I	nave been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the</li></ol>	priority documents i	nave been received in A	pplication No.
<ol><li>Copies of the certified</li></ol>	copies of the priority	documents have been	received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic r	priority under 35 LLS C	§ 119(a) (to a provisional application)
a)  The translation of the for 15) Acknowledgment is made of a	eign language provis	sional application has be	en received
ttachment(s)		,	33 124 GHQ/OF 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO	leview (PTO-948) -1449) Paper No(s)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial No. 10/004,453

Art Unit 1711

Applicant's election of the species of dead polymer of ZEONOR 1020R; isobornyl methacrylate reactive plasticizer in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The election of species with regard to claims 1-11 is hereby withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under Section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit 1711

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nakatsukasa et al. (USP 5,185,234).

Nakatsukasa et al. disclose a composition containing a styrene butadiene block copolymer and a liquid rubber compound which acts to soften the composition and make it kneadable such that a sheet can be formed from that material. Note for instance Examples 3 and 4 in this regard. Note that the material is held at  $100^{\circ}$  for 3 minutes. Since a mold acts to force material into the shape of the mold, the material would flow at least in part outwardly to fill those parts of the mold not filled by the molding material. While the liquid 1,2 polybutadiene is not explicitly disclosed to be a plasticizer to the styrene isoprene block copolymer, the fact the polybutadiene is chemically similar to the isoprene block of the styrene isoprene block copolymer in that both blocks are characterized by polymerization of dienes, such a characteristic would reasonably appear to be inherent and the polybutadiene liquid would therefore reasonably appear to be inherently plasticizing.

Serial No. 10/004,453
Art Unit 1711

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In refitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Claims 12, 13, 15, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishikawa et al. (USP 6,140,450).

Ishikawa et al. disclose a reactive plasticizer containing composition (column 1 lines 55-63) containing various rubbers at column 15 lines 15-25. Note the Example at column 18 lines 10-17 where the active plasticizer is mixed with a polymer. Note the Example again at column 18 lines 10-25 where the material is kneaded and therefore is in the form of a semisolid since a liquid or solid could not be said to be kneaded. Note also that the material is molded and as material in a mold flows during the process, the limitations of claim 15 can be said to be met.

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Muskat (USP 3,557,046).

Muskat discloses a composition which is a blend of PVC and a reactive plasticizer which can be shaped and cured. Note the Abstract as well as the Examples including Example 1 where a reactive plasticizer such as 1,3-butylene glycol dimethacrylate

Serial No. 10/004,453

Art Unit 1711

is combined with polyvinyl chloride to form a gel, embraced by applicants' semisolid which is heated for one minute at 115° and subsequently thermoset by heating for 3 minutes at 150° (column 9 lines 40-58). As the material flows in a mold, the limitations of claim 15 would appear to be met. Note that the heating period at 1 minute does not result in cure at column 7 lines 58-66 but that subsequent curing takes place.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2820. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is before final (703) 872-9310 and after final (703) 8729311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

J. Mullis:cdc

August 7, 2003

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711